

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LATESHA HOWARD,
Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO,
et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. CV 25-01072 DMG (RAO)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL


Plaintiff Latesha Howard filed a complaint, *pro se*, on May 2, 2025, and requested to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP). [Doc. ## 1, 3.] On May 20, 2025, the Court screened the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) and found it failed to state a claim upon which relief could be granted and further indicated that abstention under *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37 (1971), appeared to be warranted. [Doc. # 6.] The Court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend by June 19, 2025, and deferred ruling on the IFP request. *Id.* at 4. The Court warned Plaintiff that failure to timely file an amended complaint “will result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute, to state a claim, and/or to comply with a Court Order.” *Id.*

///

///

1 The deadline has passed, and Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.
2 Accordingly, this action is dismissed without prejudice.

3
4 DATED: July 3, 2025

5 
6 DOLLY M. GEE
7 CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28